

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2183

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§

§ § §

§ §

§

§ § § §

§

Application No.:

09/483,101

Filed:

January 14, 2000 🗸

Inventor(s):

Kevin J. McGrath and Michael T.

Clark

Title:

Establishing an Operating

Mode in a Processor

§ Examiner:

Li, Aimee J.

Group/Art Unit: 2183

Atty. Dkt. No:

5500-54700

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Lawrence J. Merkel

Printed Name

5/29/03 Date

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF May 5, 2003

RECEIVED

JUN 0 4 2003

Technology Center 2100

ATTN: BOX AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action of May 5, 2003, to further highlight why the application is in condition for allowance.

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 and 17-22 are pending. In the present Office Action, claims 1 and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being unpatentable over James L. Turley's Advanced 80386 Programming Techniques ("Turley"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection and request reconsideration. Claims 2-10 and 18-22 are objected to as being dependent from a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in